

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,678	01/26/2004	Theodore D. Wugofski	450.195US2	9447
	7590 09/19/2007		EXAM	INER
GATEWAY, INC. P. O Box 2000 610 Gateway Drive, MD Y-04 North Sioux City, SD 57049			BUI, KIEU OANH T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
rtorur broak Cr	19, 00 370 19	·	2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/764,678	WUGOFSKI, THEODORE D.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KIEU-OANH BUI	2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		o correspondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 A						
	- ···					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	taminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mai					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/20/2007 has been entered.

Remark

2. Claims 2 and 18 have been previously cancelled, with claim 22-26 has been newly added; and claims 1, 3-17, and 19-26 are pending for reconsideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-17, and 19-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matthews, III et al. (U.S. Patent 6,025,837) in view of Klosterman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,940,073) and Howe et al. (US Patent 5,818,438).

Regarding claim 1, Matthews discloses an information handling system (Fig. 3) comprising a graphical user interface (GUI) (Fig. 5 for a GUI) in an operating environment, the GUI configured to provide at least one display (display in box 128) including information related

Art Unit: 2623

to at least one channel, i.e., the display with information related to program "Seinfeld" of at least one channel NBC 6, wherein the display includes a control corresponding with at least one programming function related to the at least one channel, i.e., within the display either a prompt at More 140 or at "Last week; Comedy club" corresponding to the function of providing further information of the related channel (refer further on col. 9/line 55 to col. 10/line 13).

Matthews does not further show "wherein the display includes an on-screen display that combines a graphic element comprising the control with a broadcast streaming video from a second channel"; however, this technique is well known in the art. In fact, Klosterman teaches an exact same technique as the display screen provides the user a simultaneous displaying of both the television programming in window 688 and the virtual channel—from a different or second channel—on the display (refer back to Fig. 6b—as item 640 is being selected from a different channel to the existing television channel—as shown in Figure 6(d) and col. 9/line 19 to col. 10/line 16) and the broadcasting source in the form of streaming video data (col. 4/lines 24-47 for broadcasting the streaming data by the satellite; and further as noted in col. 11/lines 22-55 for streaming video clip). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Matthews's system with a known technique as taught by Klosterman in order to provide simultaneously on the display screen the regular broadcasting program and the streaming video and its other related functions to the user/viewer while the user still does not want to lose what's going on in the regular television programming.

Furthermore, Matthews and Klosterman do not further show "wherein the graphic element overlays the broadcast streaming video"; however, this technique is also known in the art. In fact, Howe teaches an exact same technique as the graphic element overlays the broadcast

Art Unit: 2623

streaming video (Howe, col. 1/line 48 to col. 2/line 8 and col. 16/line 48 to col. 17/line 64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Matthews and Klosterman's system with a known technique as taught by Howe in order to provide simultaneously on the display screen the regular broadcasting program and the streaming video wherein the graphic element overlays the broadcast streaming video and its other related functions to the user/viewer while the user still does not want to lose what's going on in the regular television programming.

(Claim 2 has been canceled).

As for claims 3 and 26, Matthews teaches the system further includes a current banner (Fig. 5, item 128 for current information) and a browse banner (Fig. 5, item 140 for banner providing a browse to other information, i.e., Hilter, A-bomb, Pearl harbor).

As for claim 4, Matthews discloses a tuner 98 operable coupled to the GUI, wherein the tuner is tunable to a plurality of channels including the at least one channel (Fig. 4 and col. 8/lines 21-35; and Fig. 5 for the display of channels 2, 4, 6 & 7).

As for claim 5, Matthews further discloses wherein the plurality of channels includes at least one event (Fig. 5 shows a program guide with more than one channel with each has a corresponding event, i.e., a broadcasting program at certain time).

As for claim 6, Matthews further teaches comprising a convergence system operably coupled thereto (Fig. 3 shows a convergence system because it combines different sources and provides the combined content to the viewer at the terminal monitor device, see col. 7/line 43 to col. 8/line 20).

Art Unit: 2623

As for claims 7-12, 13-17, and 19-20, these claims for a medium having executable instructions stored thereon for execution on a suitably equipped electronic system, a system and a corresponding method, respectively, with similar features as addressed earlier are rejected for the reason given in the scope of claims 1-6, not limited to the cited paragraphs but also to the entire disclosure and teaching of Matthews' reference.

In addition to claims 7, 11, 13 and 17, Matthews does not further show "at least one channel combined with broadcast streaming video from a second channel different than said at least one channel" and "selecting a browse mode wherein the video output does not corresponding with the at least one channel"; however, these techniques are well known in the art. In fact, Klosterman teaches an exact same technique as the display screen provides the user a simultaneous displaying of both the television programming in window 688 and the virtual channel on the display as a different channel from the at least one channel as the TV programming and as the user selects the browse function for the virtual channel, it does not corresponding to the at least regular television channel in window 188 (as shown in Figure 6(d) and col. 9/line 19 to col. 10/line 16) and the broadcasting source in the form of streaming video data (col. 4/lines 24-47 for broadcasting the streaming data by the satellite; and further as noted in col. 11/lines 22-55 for streaming video clip). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Matthews's system with a known technique as taught by Klosterman in order to provide simultaneously on the display screen the regular broadcasting program and the streaming video and its other related functions to the user/viewer for selecting or browsing on the virtual channel and it does not affect the other television channel while the user still does not want to lose what's going on in the regular television programming.

Furthermore, Matthews and Klosterman do not further show "wherein the graphic element overlays the broadcast streaming video"; however, Howe teaches the same technique as the graphic element overlays the broadcast streaming video (Howe, col. 1/line 48 to col. 2/line 8 and col. 16/line 48 to col. 17/line 64). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Matthews and Klosterman's system with a known technique as taught by Howe in order to provide simultaneously on the display screen the regular broadcasting program and the streaming video wherein the graphic element overlays the broadcast streaming video and its other related functions to the user/viewer while the user still does not want to lose what's going on in the regular television programming.

As for claims 21 and 24-25, Klosterman teaches this feature as shown in Fig. 6(d) as the display shows a plurality of functions that the user can control or command corresponding to the programming of the at least one function, i.e., bookmark, reload, view, links, options etc.

As for claims 22-23, Howe teaches the recording function feature as noted (col. 19/lines 25-60).

Conclusion

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to PTO New Central Fax number:

(571) 273-8300, (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand deliveries must be made to Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 10/764,678

Art Unit: 2623

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krista Kieu-Oanh Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7291. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller, can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kieu-Oanh Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit 2623

KB September 13, 2007